UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,969	09/30/2003	David M. Callaghan	03AB002/ALBRP323US	4944
Susan M. Donahue Rockwell Automation 704-P, IP Department 1201 South 2nd Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TAI T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			5/1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,969	CALLAGHAN, DAVID M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tai T. Nguyen	2612	
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 October 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 36-42</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-9, 36-42</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = 1	accepted or b)□ objected to l	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	formal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to RCE filed on October 23, 2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, and 36-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Raschke et al. (US 6,653,933).

Regarding claim 1, Raschke et al. disclose a system (figure 1) for controller configuration and programming, comprising:

a controller (112) connected to a power line (108, figure 1);

a configuration device (128) connected to Internet (124), wherein the configuration device and the controller utilize at least an interface (104) to communicate over the power line and the Internet to enable remote controller configuration and programming (figure 1); and

the interface implements a peer-to-peer communication network (figure 1).

Regarding claim 3, Raschke et al. disclose the interface contains a global unique identifier (GUID) and a serial number in the form of a domain unique alias identifier (DUA) to ensure unique identification (col. 4, lines 56-61).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 4, Raschke et al. disclose the interface can be built-in (contained) within the controller (col. 3, lines 62-65).

Regarding claim 5, Raschke et al. disclose the controller being a programmable logic controller (col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 17).

Regarding claim 6, Raschke et al. disclose the configuration device configures one or more modules associated with the controller (col. 4, lines 31-38).

Regarding claim 36, Raschke et al. disclose the interface (104) can be a separate unit (col. 3, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 37, as shown in figure 1, Raschke et al. disclose the power line (108) being connected to the interface (104) and a data communications connection can be made from the interface to a particular device (112, figure 1).

Regarding claims 38 and 41, refer to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 39, refer to claim 36 above.

Regarding claim 40, refer to claim 37 above.

Regarding claim 42, Raschke et al. disclose the interface being configured using hardware, software, or a combination thereof to create a plurality of virtual network (figure 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2612

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raschke et al.

Regarding claim 7, Raschke et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for specifically disclose the configuration device configures the controller by exchanging configuration, data, download a file on the controller. Since Raschke et al. disclose that a user utilizes the configuration device (128) to configure, monitor, and issue commands to the controller (col. 4, lines 35-38) and the interface connected to the controller receives the commands and instructs the controller to take certain actions (col. 5, line 55 through col. 5, line 18), it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to know that the configuration device can be used to configure the controller by exchanging configuration, data, download a file on the controller for the purpose of providing the user the capability of controlling the system.

Regarding claims 8-9, Raschke et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the download file being an executable software program or a wed page. Since Raschke et al. disclose that the user utilizes the configuration device (128) to configure, monitor, and issue commands to the controller via the Internet (124, figure 1, col. 4, lines 35-38), it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to know that the command initiates from the configuration device can be in the form of an executable software program/web page for the purpose remotely configuring/monitoring the device.

Art Unit: 2612

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TAI NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

January 4, 2007